March 1, 1855.

CHARLES WHEATSTONE, Esq., V.P., in the Chair.

The Earl of Ducie was admitted into the Society.

In accordance with the Statutes, the Secretary read the following list of Candidates for election into the Society.

Henry Foster Baxter, Esq. George Bowdler Buckton, Esq. Edward Chappell, Capt. R.N. Arthur Connell, Esq. William Coulson, Esq. Thomas Russell Crampton, Esq. Richard Cull, Esq. Hugh Welch Diamond, M.D. Solomon Moses Drach, Esq. Major George Duckett. William Farr, Esq. William Lewis Fischer, Esq. Isaac Fletcher, Esq. Sir Charles Fox. Rev. G. R. Gleig. William John Hamilton, Esq. Arthur Hill Hassall, M.D. John Hawkshaw, Esq. William Bird Herapath, M.D. John Hippisley, Esq.

Henry Letheby, B.M. Edward Joseph Lowe, Esq. James Luke, Esq. Robert Lutwidge, Esq. George Macilwain, Esq. William Marcet, M.D. Robert William Mylne, Esq. Henry Minchin Noad, Esq. A. Follett Osler, Esq. Henry Perigal, Esq. Henry Hyde Salter, M.D. Thomas Thomson, M.D. Charles B. Vignoles, Esq. Charles Vincent Walker, Esq. Robert Wight, M.D. Thomas Williams, M.D. Alexander William Williamson, Esq. George Fergusson Wilson, Esq.

The reading of Mr. Gosse's paper, "On the Structure, Functions, and Homology of the Manducatory Organs in the Class Rotifera," was resumed and concluded.

In this paper the author institutes an examination of the manducatory organs in the class Rotifera, in order to show that the various forms which they assume can all be reduced to a common type. He further proposes to inquire what are the real homologues of these organs in the other classes of animals, and what light we can gather, from their structure, on the question of the zoological rank of the Rotifera.

After an investigation of the bibliography of the class from Ehrenberg to the present time, in which the vagueness and inexactitude of our knowledge of these organs is shown, the author takes up, one by one, the various phases which they assume throughout the whole class; commencing with *Brachionus*, in which they appear in the highest state of development. Their form in this genus is therefore taken as the standard of comparison.

The hemispherical bulb, which is so conspicuous in *B. amphiceros*, lying across the breast, and containing organs which work vigorously against each other, has long been recognized as an organ of manducation: it has been called the gizzard; but the author proposes to distinguish it by the term *mastax*. It is a trilobate muscular sac, with walls varying much in thickness, receiving at the anterior extremity the *buccal funnel*, and on the dorsal side giving exit to the *assophagus*.

Within this sac are placed two geniculate organs (the mallei), and a third on which they work (the incus). Each malleus consists of two parts (the manubrium and the uncus), united by a hinge-joint. The manubrium is a piece of irregular form, consisting of carinæ of solid matter, enclosing three areas, which are filled with a more membranous substance. The uncus consists of several slender pieces, more or less parallel, arranged like the teeth of a comb, or like the fingers of a hand.

The *incus* consists of two *rami*, which are articulated by a common base to the extremity of a thin rod (the *fulcrum*), in such a way that they can open and close by proper muscles. The fingers of

each uncus rest upon the corresponding ramus, to which they are attached by an elastic ligament. The mallei are moved to and fro by distinct muscles, which the author describes in detail; and by the action of these they approach and recede alternately; the rami opening and shutting simultaneously, with a movement derived partly from the action of the mallei, and partly from their own proper muscles.

All these organs have great solidity and density; and, from the action of certain menstrua upon them, appear to be of calcareous origin.

The writer proceeds to describe the accessory organs. The ciliated disc has an infundibuliform centre, which commonly merges into a tube before it enters the *mastax*. The particles of food that float in the water, or swimming animalcules, are whirled by the ciliary vortex into this tube; and, being carried into the *mastax*, are lodged upon the *rami*, between the two *unci*. These conjointly work upon the food, which passes on towards the tips of the *rami*, and enter the *asophagus*, which opens immediately beneath them.

From this normal condition, the author traces the manducatory organs through various modifications, in the genera Euchlanis, Notommata aurita, N. clavulata, Anuræa, N. petromyzon, N. lacinulata, Furcularia, N. gibba, Synchæta, Polyarthra, Diglena, Eosphora, Albertia, F.marina, Asplanchna, Mastigocerca, Monocerca, and Scaridium. Some of these display peculiarities and aberrations highly curious. Notwithstanding the anomalies and variations which occur, however, the same type of structure is seen in all; and the modifications in general may be considered as successive degenerations of the mallei, and augmentations of the incus.

The form of the manducatory organs, which occurs in Triarthra, Pompholyx, Pterodina, Œcistes, Limnias, Melicerta, Conochilus, Megalotrocha, Lacinularia, and Tubicolaria, is next examined. The organs are shown to be essentially the same as in the former type, but somewhat disguised by the excessive dilatation of the mallei, and by the soldering of the unci and the rami together, into two masses, each of which approaches in figure to the quadrant of a sphere.

Attention is then directed to what has been called (but by a misapprehension) the "stirrup-shaped" armature of the genera Rotifer,

Philodina, Actinurus, &c. Here, however, the organs are proved to have no essential diversity from the common type; their analogy with those last described being abundantly manifest, though they are still further disguised by the obsolescence of the manubria.

Floscularia and Stephanoceros, the most elegant, but the most aberrant forms of Rotifera, close the series. The mastax, in these genera, is wanting; and in the former genus the incus and the manubria are reduced to extreme evanescence, though the two-fingered unci show, in their structure, relative position and action, the true analogy of these organs.

Having thus shown that there is but one model of structure, however modified or disguised, in the manducatory organs of the Rotifera, the author proceeds to the question of their homology. He argues on several grounds that they have no true affinity with the gastric teeth of the Crustacea, though he states his conviction that the Rotifera belong to the great Arthropodous division of animals.

It is with the Insecta that the author seeks to ally these minute creatures; and, by a course of argument founded on the peculiarities of structure already detailed, he maintains the following identifications:—that the mastax is a true mouth; that the mallei are mandibles; the manubria possibly representing the cheeks, into which they are articulated; that the rami of the incus are maxillæ; and that the fulcrum represents the cardines soldered together.

While the author maintains the connexion of Rotifera with Insecta, through these organs in their highest development, he suggests their affinity with Polyzoa, by the same organs at the opposite extremity of the scale, since the oval muscular bulbs in Bowerbankia, which approach and recede in their action on food, seem to represent the quadriglobular masses of Limnias and Rotifer, further degenerated.

If this affinity be correctly indicated, the interesting fact is apparent, that the Polyzoa present the point where the two great parallel divisions, Mollusca and Articulata, unite in their course towards the true Polypi.

Mr. Gosse's paper is illustrated by ninety-six figures of entire Rotifera, or of the parts under review, all drawn from the life, and, for the most part, with a power of 560 diameters.